Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 273 (1984) 377-383 Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne – Printed in The Netherlands

CRYSTAL AND MOLECULAR STRUCTURE OF $[\eta^5-C_5(CH_3)_5]C_0(O_2C_6H_4)$, A COBALT(*o*-CATECHOLATE) COMPLEX

EDWARD J. MILLER, ARNOLD L. RHEINGOLD* and THOMAS B. BRILL*

Department of Chemistry, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware 19716 (U.S.A.) (Received April 30th, 1984)

Summary

 $[\eta^5-C_5(CH_3)_5]Co(O_2C_6H_4)$ crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group *Pnma* with a 12.942(4), b 12.902(4), c 8.543(3) Å, V 1426(1) Å³, and Z = 4. Least-squares refinement of 1688 independent observed reflections, $F(_{obs}) \ge 2.5\sigma(F_{obs})$, gives R_F 3.79 and R_{wF} 3.72%. The cyclopentadienyl ring contains two short (1.412(3) Å) and three longer ($\langle av \rangle$ 1.430(4) Å) C-C bond lenghts, consistent with a slight preference for diolefin bonding. The O₂C₆H₄ fragment is best described as a catecholate with a C-O bond distance of 1.338(3), and a Co-O distance of 1.837(2) Å.

Introduction

The ground-state electronic structure of $CpCo(bb'C_6H_4)$ complexes in which b,b' = O, N, S has been of interest to us [1,2] and others [3–5]. The possibility of electron delocalization and the various bonding modes of the five-membered metallacycle formed by the Cobb'C₂ fragment [3–7] as well as the redox behavior [8] of quinone-metal complexes [9] are central issues in previous studies. Electron distributions giving rise to I (catecholate), II (semiquinone), and III (benzoquinone) can be imagined for quinone ligands in transition metal complexes. Crystal structure determinations provide evidence for all three forms [10]. However, the only quinone structure closely related to the complex of interest here, IV, is the Rh homologue [11] in which the Rh(O₂C₆H₄) fragment was found to have form I.





Metallocyclopentadienyl complexes containing unequal C-C bond distances are known [12–14]. Imbalanced population of the HOMOs of the Cp ring stemming from bonding to a non-cylindrically symmetric metal-ligand fragment or Jahn-Teller effects are thought to be responsible.

The appropriate electronic description of the $Co(O_2C_6H_4)$ fragment and the nature of the bonding within the Cp ring are important in connection with anomalies that appear when comparing the ⁵⁹Co nuclear quadrupole resonance spectra of the CpCobb'C₆H₄ complexes with the analogous $[\eta^5-C_5(CH_3)_5]$ Co-(bb'C₆H₄) series [15]. We, therefore, report here a determination of the crystal and molecular structure of IV.

Experimental

Preparation and characterization of $[\eta^5 - C_5(CH_3)_5]Co(O_2C_6H_4)$

Reagent-grade solvents were dried over Linde 4 Å molecular sieves and used without further purification. All reactions and manipulations were performed under nitrogen. Catechol (Aldrich) was sublimed prior to use $(80-83^{\circ}C/\approx 3 \text{ mmHg})$. $[\eta^{5}-C_{5}(CH_{3})_{5}]CoI_{2}(CO)$ was prepared as before [16]. NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker WA 250. Elemental analyses were performed by MicroAnalysis. Wilmington, Delaware.

Disodium catecholate was prepared by dropwise addition of catechol (titer: 0.42 mmol ml⁻¹ anhydrous ethanol) to a sodium ethoxide solution (titer: 0.67 mmol Na ml⁻¹ anhydrous ethanol). The catecholate solution (33.8 ml) was slowly added to $[\eta^5-C_5(CH_3)_5]CoI_2(CO)$ (3.06 g, 6.30 mmol) in methylene chloride (150 ml). After stirring for 24 h, the solvent was removed and the crude product sublimed (170°C/2 mmHg) to produce dark purple, air-stable crystals of IV (0.97 g, 50.8%): m.p. 195–197°C (dec.); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.96 ppm (s. 15, CH₃) 6.5–7.20 (m, 4, C₆H₄); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ 9.80 ppm (C₅(CH₃)₅), 87.10 (C₅(CH₃)₅), 116.0, 118.10, 161.50 (C₆H₄). Anal. Found: C, 64.14; H, 6.36. C₁₆H₁₉CoO₂ calcd.: C, 63.58; H, 6.34%.

Structure determination

Regularly-shaped single crystals grown by sublimation were mounted on glass fibers with epoxy cement. By the use of a Nicolet R3 diffractometer, final unit-cell parameters (Table 1) were determined from the angular settings of 25 well-centered reflections $(20^\circ \le 2\theta \le 30^\circ)$. An orthorhombic space group, *Pnma* or *Pn2*₁*a*, was indicated by systematic absences. The centric alternative, *Pnma*, was initially chosen on the basis of *E*-statistics and subsequently confirmed by the successful and chemically reasonable solution and refinement of the structure. Data collection, solution, and refinement employed routines in the P3 and SHELXTL (version 3.0) program packages (Nicolet Corp.). Correction for Lorentz and polarization effects were applied to the intensity data. An empirical absorption correction was not needed based on the absorption coefficient (12.5 cm⁻¹), regular crystal shape, and ψ -scan data. Redundant data were averaged (R(I) 2.79%). The cobalt atom was located from a sharpened Patterson map. Least-squares refinement of the metal atom position followed by a Fourier synthesis located all remaining nonhydrogen atoms. After anisotropic refinement of the nonhydrogen atoms, all hydrogen atoms were located and refined isotropically by blocked-cascade, least-squares methods. *GOF* was 1.519 for 1416 unique reflections with $|F_0| > 2.5\sigma|F_0|$. The largest peak in the final difference map was 0.3 eÅ⁻³ located 0.07 Å from C(1). The final positional and thermal parameters are presented in Tables 2 and 3.

Results and discussion

TABLE 1

The molecular structure and atom labelling for $[\eta^5-C_5(CH_3)_5]Co(O_2C_6H_4)$ appear in Fig. 1. The unit cell consists of four molecules in a head-to-tail arrangement (Fig. 2). The mirror plane of the space group bisects the C(1)-C(1a) and C(3)-C(3a) bonds of the phenylene ring and the C(4)-C(4a) bond of the cyclopentadienyl ring. Co, C(6), C(9), and H(9b) lie on the mirror plane. The metallacycle ring is planar

Formula	$C_{16}H_{19}CoO_2$
Formula weight	302.24
Crystal system	orthorhombic
Space group	Pnma
<i>a</i> (Å)	12.942(4)
<i>b</i> (Å)	12.902(4)
<i>c</i> (Å)	8.543(3)
$V(A^3)$	1426(1)
Ζ	4
ρ (calcd) (g cm ⁻³)	1.41
Temp. (°C)	25
Crystal dimension (mm)	$0.32 \times 0.44 \times 0.44$
Radiation	Graphite-monochromated Mo- K_{α}
	(λ 0.71073 Å)
Diffractometer	Nicolet R3
Abs. Coeff. (cm^{-1})	12.5
Scan speed (deg min ^{-1})	Variable 4–10
2θ -Scan range (°)	$4^{\circ} \leq 2\theta \leq 55^{\circ}$
Scan technique	$\theta/2\theta$
Data collected	+ h, + k, + l
Scan width (°)	$1.0 + \Delta(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)$
Ignorance factor (G) "	0.0017
Unique data	1688 rfins (1852 collected)
Unique data with $(F_{obs}) > 2.5\sigma(F_{obs})$	1416
Std. rflns.	3/200 (no decay observed)
R _F	3.79
R _{wF}	3.72

CRYSTAL	AND	REFINEMENT DAT	`A

^a Weight = $[\sigma^2(F) + g(F^2)]^{-1}$.

(maximum deviation from planarity is 0.0009 Å) and is coplanar with the phenylene ring (dihedral angle 1.6°). The O-Co-O bond angle of 86.9(1)° in IV is similar to that in Co[O₂C₆H₂(t-Bu)₂]₂(bpy) (87.4(3)°) [22].

Cp ring

The cyclopentadienyl ring is planar (maximum deviation from planarity is 0.0008 Å) and forms a dihedral angle of 91.7° with the metallacycle fragment. Previous

TABLE 2

Atom	x/a	<i>v/b</i>	z/c	U(180) "	
Co	2080(1)	2500	1970(1)	h	
0	1335(1)	3480(1)	892(2)	h	
C(1)	655(2)	3045(2)	-88(2)	h	
C(2)	- 36(2)	3580(2)	-1040(3)	ь	
C(3)	- 720(2)	3034(2)	-1965(3)	Ь	
C(4)	3512(2)	3057(2)	2523(3)	ь	
C(5)	2774(2)	1607(2)	3628(3)	h	
C(6)	2314(3)	2500	4322(4)	Þ	
C(7)	4191(2)	3719(3)	1539(4)	h	
C(8)	2499(4)	492(4)	3938(6)	ь	
C(9)	1538(4)	2500	5609(6)	ь	
H(2)	-36(20)	4291(20)	-1011(28)	57(7)	
H(3)	-1196(20)	3404(19)	-2577(30)	61(7)	
H(7a)	4917(28)	3693(24)	2014(35)	95(10)	
H(7b)	3959(30)	4402(29)	1524(41)	122(15)	
H(7c)	4263(22)	3444(25)	487(3)	93(10)	
H(8a)	2866(28)	260(30)	4779(54)	122(14)	
H(8b)	1791(36)	498(31)	4211(53)	155(18)	
H(8c)	2503(54)	116(62)	3310(80)	231(33)	
H(9a)	1071(30)	1883(29)	5635(43)	136(15)	
H(9b)	1843(43)	2500	6597(68)	129(20)	

ATOM COORDINATES (×10⁴) FOR IV

^a $\dot{A}^2 \times 10^3$. ^b Refined anisotropically, see Table 3.

TABLE 3

ANISOTROPIC TEMPERATURE FACTORS (Å $^2 \times 10^3$) " For IV

Atom	U ₁₁	U ₂₂	U ₃₃	U ₂₃	U ₁₃	U ₁₂
Со	35(1)	54(1)	35(1)	0	- 5(1)	0
0	51(1)	48(1)	48(1)	2(1)	-10(1)	- 5(1)
C(1)	37(1)	52(1)	31(1)	1(1)	1(1)	-1(1)
C(2)	53(1)	55(1)	43(1)	6(1)	-2(1)	4(1)
C(3)	46(1)	79(2)	37(1)	4(1)	~ 6(1)	8(1)
C(4)	37(1)	60(1)	37(1)	-1(1)	-8(1)	-3(1)
C(5)	44(1)	78(2)	45(1)	17(1)	-12(1)	-8(1)
C(6)	38(2)	119(4)	35(2)	0	-1(2)	0
C(7)	58(2)	78(2)	62(2)	13(2)	-8(1)	- 16(2)
C(8)	79(2)	99(3)	108(3)	57(3)	- 30(2)	-28(2)
C(9)	50(3)	238(9)	40(2)	0	7(2)	0

^a The anisotropic temperature factor exponent takes the form $-2\pi^2(h^2a^{\star 2}U_{11}^2 + k^2b^{\star 2}U_{22}^2 + ... + 2hka^{\star}b^{\star}U_{12}^2 + ...)$.

structure determinations of η^5 -C₅(CH₃)₅ complexes reveal that the methyl groups are displaced from the ring plane away from the metal by 0.037 to 0.205 Å [13]. The larger displacements are attributed to steric crowding around the metal [13]. In accordance, the methyl groups in IV are not coplanar with the cyclopentadienyl ring, but C(8) and C(8a) are displaced toward the metal (0.066 Å) while C(7), C(7a) (0.022 Å) and C(9) (0.076 Å) are displaced away. An inward twist of the orbital framework on C(5) and C(5a) may increase overlap between the carbon π orbital and the appropriate metal *d* orbital while tilting C(8) and C(8a) toward the metal. Such behavior has been discussed by Elian et al. [17].

The orientation of the methyl groups is shown in Fig. 1. C(8), C(8a), and C(9) each have two protons residing on the metal side of the ring. C(7) and C(7a) have one proton on the metal side and one proton approximately in the plane of the Cp ring. While other methyl group orientations have been observed [18,19], the config-



Fig. 1. Molecular structure and labelling scheme for $[\eta^5-C_5(CH_3)_5]Co(O_2C_6H_4)$. The hydrogen atoms are depicted with an arbitrary radius.



Fig. 2. A stereoview of the unit cell packing of IV viewed along the b axis.

uration adopted by IV is one in which nonbonded interactions are probably minimized.

Slight inequivalence in the C-C bond distances within the cyclopentadienyl ring results from the lack of cylindrical symmetry in IV. Librational motion corrections [12,21] were applied to IV, but did not change the pattern of the C-C bond distances. The HOMOs of the cyclopentadienyl ring, e_1^- and e_1^+ , interact slightly



differently with the Co(O₂C₆H₄) fragment. The C-C bond distance variations of the Cp ring of IV are similar in size and arrangement to those in $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Fe(CO)_2]_2$ where the distortion was attributed to enhanced electron population of e_1^+ over e_1^- . The same situation appears to exist in IV and in $[\eta^5-C_5(CH_3)_5]Rh(O_2C_6H_4)$ [11]. It is interesting to find that e_1^- is apparently more populated in two structures related to IV, $[\eta^5-C_5(CH_3)_5]Co(CO)_2$ [13] and $[\eta^5-C_5(CH_3)_5]Co[(NH)_2C_6H_4]$ [15]. Apart from packing constraints, subtle electronic effects originating in the metallacycle may determine the predominance of e_1^- or e_1^+ .

The catecholate

The bond distances in the $O_2C_6H_4$ fragment are consistent with the catecholate form, I. The C(1)–O distance of 1.338(3) Å in IV (see Table 4) compares with an average C–O bond length of 1.358(10) Å in $Co[O_2C_6H_2(t-Bu)_2]_2$ (bpy) [22]. In addition, the C(1)–O distance in IV is closer to the C–O length in free catechol

ΤA	BL	٦E	4
----	----	----	---

SELECTED BOND DISTANCES AND ANGLES FOR $[\eta^5 \cdot C_5(CH_3)_5]Co(O_2C_6H_4)$ (IV)

Co-O	1.837(2)	C(4)-C(5)	1.412(3)	
C(1)-O	1.338(3)	C(4)-C(4a)	1.436(5)	
C(1)-C(1a)	1.408(4)	C(5)-C(6)	1 426(3)	
C(1)-C(2)	1 392(3)	C(4)-C(7)	1.487(4)	
C(2)-C(3)	1.379(4)	C(5)-C(8)	1.505(6)	
C(3)-C(3a)	1.379(5)	C(6)-C(9)	1.489(6)	
C(2)-H(2)	0.918(25)	Co-C(4)	2.043(2)	
C(3)-H(3)	0.939(26)	CoC(5)	2.035(3)	
C(7)-H(7a)	1.024(35)	Co-C(6)	2.032(3)	
С(7)-Н(7b)	0.932(39)	C(9)-H(9a)	1.002(38)	
C(7)-H(7c)	0.970(32)	C(9)-H(9b)	0.932(57)	
C(8)-H(8a)	0.912(42)			
C(8)-H(8b)	0.944(46)			
C(8)-H(8c)	0 723(76)			
O-Co-O(a)	86.9(1)	O - C(1) - C(1a)	114 8(1)	
Co-O-C(1)	111.8(1)	C(1a) - C(1) - C(2)	119.7(1)	
C(1)-C(2)-C(3)	119.6(2)	C(2)-C(3)-C(3a)	120.7(2)	
C(4a)-C(4)-C(5)	107.9(1)	C(4) - C(5) - C(6)	108.2(2)	
C(5)-C(6)-C(5a)	107.8(3)			

(1.371(4) Å) [23] than o-benzoquinone (1.220(2) Å) [24]. $[\eta^5-C_5(CH_3)_5]Rh(O_2C_6H_4)$ [11] has C-O bond lengths averaging 1.387(18) Å. The slightly longer C-O bonds in the rhodium analogue may result from hydrogen bonding between the O atom of the complex and catechol molecules of solvation in the lattice.

Further support for the catecholate form in IV lies in the absence of an alternating pattern of bond lengths in the phenylene ring. The bond length of 1.407(4) Å in IV is comparable to the distance between carbons bearing the O atoms in other catecholate complexes [10]. A slightly longer C-C length ($\langle av \rangle$ 1.44(1) Å) is observed for the same type of bond in semiquinone chelates [10].

Supplementary material. Table 5 contains a listing of observed and calculated structure factors and is available from the authors on request.

Acknowledgment

We are grateful to the National Science Foundation for partial funding toward the purchase of the X-ray diffractometer.

References

- 1 E.J. Miller and T.B. Brill, Inorg. Chem., 22 (1983) 2392.
- 2 A.L. Rheingold, W.C. Fultz, T.B. Brill, and S.J. Landon, J. Cryst. Spect. Res., 13 (1983) 317.
- 3 M.E. Gross, W.C. Trogler, and J.A. Ibers, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 103 (1981) 192.
- 4 M.E. Gross, J.A. Ibers, and W.C. Trogler, Organometallics, 1 (1982) 530.
- 5 M.E. Gross, W.C. Trogler, and J.A. Ibers, Organometallics, 1 (1982) 732.
- For reviews see: R.P. Burns and C.A. McAuliffe, Adv. Inorg. Chem. and Radiochem., 22 (1979) 303;
 R. Eisenberg, Prog. Inorg. Chem., 12 (1970) 295; and J.A. McCleverty, ibid., 10 (1968) 49.
- 7 G.N. Schrauzer, Acc. Chem. Res., 2 (1968) 72.
- 8 D.-H. Chen, S.E. Jones, L.E. Leon, P. Bosserman, M.D. Stallings, and D.T. Sawyer, Adv. Chem. Ser., 201 (1982) 675.
- 9 Quinone is used to designate the $-O_2C_6H_4$ fragment and does not indicate a specific structural form for the ligand.
- 10 For a review see: C.G. Pierpont and R.M. Buchanan, Coord. Chem. Rev., 38 (1981) 45.
- 11 P. Espinet, P.M. Bailey, and P.M. Maitlis, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1979) 1542.
- 12 P.S. Fitzpatrick, Y. LePage, J. Sedman, and I.S. Butler, Inorg. Chem., 20 (1981) 2852, and references cited therein.
- 13 L.R. Byers and L.F. Dahl, Inorg. Chem., 19 (1980) 277.
- 14 R.L. Harlow, R.J. McKinney, and J.F. Whitney, Organometallics, 2 (1983) 1839.
- 15 E.J. Miller, S.J. Landon, T.B. Brill, and A.L. Rheingold, in preparation.
- 16 J.L. Spencer and S.A. Frith, Inorg. Synth., to be published, private communication, 1983.
- 17 M. Elian, M.M.L. Chen, M.P. Mingos, and R. Hoffmann, Inorg. Chem., 15 (1976) 1148.
- 18 M.R. Churchill and S.W.-Y. Ni, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95 (1973) 2150.
- 19 D.P. Freyberg, J.L. Robbins, K.N. Raymond, and J.C. Smart, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 101 (1979) 892.
- 20 A. Mitschlu, B. Rees, and M.S. Lehmann, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 100 (1978) 3390.
- 21 V. Schomaker and K.N. Trueblood, Acta Cryst., B24 (1968) 63.
- 22 R.M. Buchanan and C.G. Pierpont, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 102 (1980) 4951.
- 23 H. Wrenderlich and D. Mootz, Acta Cryst., B27 (1971) 1684.
- 24 A.L. MacDonald and J. Trotter, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. II, (1973) 476.